

# InfraMind Advisory Suite — Strategic Overview

InfraMind EPC | Strategic Overview | June 2026

Prepared by Samanta Nayak — Manager, Contracts & Claims | Infrastructure AI Architect

## What This Overview Explains

The InfraMind Advisory Suite brings together three related advisory directions: planning and scheduling, delay analysis and EOT, and contracts and claims. The idea is simple: these disciplines are often managed separately, but on real EPC projects they affect one another continuously.

A weak programme affects delay analysis. Weak delay analysis affects claims. Weak contract administration affects whether the claim survives at all. The suite is built around that chain.

## Why This Integrated Framing Matters

Projects rarely suffer because one discipline fails in isolation. More often, the problem is disconnection between planning, records, notices, delay analysis, and claim preparation.

This overview positions InfraMind EPC around an integrated way of thinking:

- programme quality should support later entitlement analysis,
- delay review should reflect contractual requirements,
- contract administration should preserve the record needed for claims and disputes.

## The Three Advisory Pillars

| Offering                       | Focus   | Best Stage                            |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Planning & Scheduling Advisory | Programme logic, progress visibility, planning discipline                 | Project setup through execution       |
| Delay Analysis & EOT Advisory  | Delay identification, chronology, EOT support, dispute preparation        | During execution and dispute build-up |
| Contracts & Claims Advisory    | Notices, variations, claims structure, record discipline, dispute support | Full lifecycle                        |

## Positioning Note

This suite should be presented as a proposed integrated advisory model informed by Samanta Nayak's direct project experience, especially on MAHSR T-3 and OPGC MGR. It should not imply that all three offerings are currently active external mandates under InfraMind EPC.

## Personal Experience Base

The strength of this positioning comes from cross-functional project exposure:

- planning-linked controls and reporting,
- FIDIC contract administration,
- delay and EOT preparation,
- notice discipline,
- arbitration-linked documentary work.

That range makes the integrated framing credible.

## Why It Is Different

Most advisors specialise in one slice of the problem. This model is different because it is structured around how EPC risk actually moves through a project: from programme, to event, to notice, to record, to claim, to dispute.

The aim is not to appear broad for marketing purposes. It is to reflect the fact that these disciplines are operationally connected.

## Proposed Engagement Logic

| Stage               | Likely Need                      | Proposed InfraMind Role          |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Early project phase | Programme and controls structure | Planning and scheduling advisory |

| Stage                            | Likely Need  | Proposed InfraMind Role         |
|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Active delay environment         | Event review, EOT support, notice discipline         | Delay analysis and EOT advisory |
| Escalated commercial environment | Claims preparation, record strategy, dispute support | Contracts and claims advisory   |

These are indicative engagement pathways, not evidence of current external mandates.

## Contact

**Samanta Nayak**

InfraMind EPC

[inframindepc.com](http://inframindepc.com)

[inframindepc.com/contact](http://inframindepc.com/contact)

*InfraMind EPC — Digitise. Analyse. Decide.*